

██████████  
Examining Authority  
National Infrastructure Planning  
Temple Quay House  
2 The Square  
Bristol, BS1 6PN

Our Ref: CDP/PREEX/10

29<sup>th</sup> April 2025

Dear ██████████,

**EN010128: APPLICATION BY CORY ENVIRONMENTAL HOLDINGS LIMITED  
FOR AN ORDER GRANTING DEVELOPMENT CONSENT FOR THE CORY  
DECARBONISATION PROJECT – EXAMINATION TIMETABLE DEADLINE 6**

I write on behalf of the Applicant, Cory Environmental Holdings Limited, to provide its Deadline 6 submissions in respect of the Examination for the Cory Decarbonisation Project.

The Applicant's Deadline 6 submission comprises the following documents:

- Document Reference 1.4 Rev J – **Application Document Tracker;**
- Document Reference 2.3 Rev P08 – **Works Plans.** These plans have been updated following discussions with stakeholders to ensure that the licensable area shown on these plans, and referred to by the DML, covers all works in the marine area, not just dredging (and thus aligning with the definition of 'licensable activities within the DML');
- Document Reference 9.5 Rev G – **Statement of Commonality;**
- Document Reference 9.31 Rev A – **Applicant's Response to Interested Parties' Deadline 5 Submissions;**
- Document Reference 9.32 Rev A – **Applicant's Response to Landsul/ Munster Joinery Deadline 5 Submission;**
- Document Reference 9.33 Rev A - **Applicant's Response to Rule 17 Letter (08/04/2025);**
- Document Reference 9.34 Rev A – **Applicant's Response to Rule 17 Letter dated 25 April 2025 in respect of the Consultation Draft Energy NPS;**  
and

- **Statements of Common Ground (SoCG):** the following are submitted:
  - Document Reference 8.1.03 Rev D – **Statement of Common Ground with Environment Agency.**
  - Document Reference 8.1.05 Rev F – **Statement of Common Ground with Natural England.**
  - Document Reference 8.1.07 Rev E – **Statement of Common Ground with Marine Management Organisation.**

The Applicant can confirm that there have been no other policy announcements at this stage that require updates to the Policy Accordance Tracker, above and beyond that the Proposed Scheme remains appropriately to be considered CNP Infrastructure as a result of the retention of the text in paragraph 3.5.7 in the consultation draft of NPS EN-1 (April 2025). In response to the ExA's Rule 17 Letter (25 April 2025) a full consideration of the Consultation draft Energy NPS is submitted at Deadline 6 (**Document Reference 9.34**).

At Deadline 7, the Applicant will be submitting an update to the **Policy Accordance Tracker (REP3-005)** to reflect the submissions that have been made during the Examination.

The Applicant confirms that, as noted in its Deadline 6 submissions and following extensive discussions with stakeholders, many of the 'outstanding matters' referred to in its Deadline 5 Cover Letter have been resolved.

The only two remaining issues are:

- Discussions with WRWA. Further to its update in AS-090, the Applicant can confirm that it will be making the changes requested by WRWA to the RRRL Protective Provisions in the next version of the DCO, which will be submitted at Deadline 7 (not this deadline), as per the Examination timetable. Discussions are on-going in respect of article 32 and an Agreement between the parties, and the parties are working towards submitting a joint position statement on this at Deadline 7.
- Deed of Obligations. The Applicant continues to have extensive discussions with LBB, Peabody/Tilfen and TWUL on these documents. At this stage, it is not considered likely that a completed version of these will be able to be submitted by close of Examination, but the Applicant is seeking to work with these stakeholders to get to a position where a final form is submitted and noted as agreed by the Parties, even if formal completion of it is to occur post-Examination. The Applicant will provide a further update on this at Deadline 7.

Finally, Appendix A to this letter contains all the errata identified within the Application Documents during the Examination period. As requested by the Examining Authority under Question 2.19.1 of the Examining Authority's Second Written Questions (ExQ2) (PD-013), these documents have been amended to correct the errata and submitted as clean versions only (to be read alongside the Appendix) at Deadline 6.



I would be grateful if you could confirm receipt of this letter and the accompanying documents.

Yours sincerely,



Project Director

Email: [decarbonisation@corygroup.co.uk](mailto:decarbonisation@corygroup.co.uk)

Phone: [Redacted]



# APPENDIX A: ERRATA SCHEDULE

DECARBONISATION

## Cory Decarbonisation Project

PINS Reference: EN010128

April 2025

## 1. INTRODUCTION

---

### 1.1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1.1. Errata are defined as errors or omissions which are minor and do not change the overall findings of the documents, but which it is considered that it would be helpful to correct them to ensure that there is clarity in the position expressed by the Applicant in the relevant Application document.
- 1.1.2. This appendix presents the errata identified within the Development Consent Order application documents for the Proposed Scheme. As requested by the Examining Authority these documents have been amended to correct the errata and submitted at Deadline 6.
- 1.1.3. For other documents previously listed in the **Errata Schedule (AS-042)**, these errata were corrected when these documents were amended as part of the examination process at previous deadlines.

**Table 1-1: DCO Application Errata Schedule**

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
<b>Category 5: Reports</b>			
<b>5.2 Planning Statement (APP-040)</b>	Executive Summary/ Paragraph 13	Incorrect name: <i>"the International Panel on Climate Change"</i>	Correct name: <i>"Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)"</i>
	Executive Summary/ Paragraph 21	Incorrect carbon dioxide throughput: <i>"approximately 1.6Mt CO<sub>2</sub> per year."</i>	Correct carbon dioxide throughput: <i>"approximately 1.3Mt CO<sub>2</sub> per year."</i>
	Page 137/ Paragraph 8.7.2	Incorrect figure reference: <b>"Figure 2-2: Environment Constraints Plan – Flood Zones of the ES Volume 2 (Document Reference 6.2)"</b>	Correct figure reference: <b>"Sheet 2: Flood Zones of the Environmental Features Plans (Document Reference 2.7)"</b>
	Page 171/ Paragraph 9.2.8 Bullet 4	Incorrect significant rating: <i>"Moderate Adverse effect on users of PRoW (FP1/FP2/FP4)"</i>	Correct significant rating: <i>"Moderate Adverse effect on users of PRoW (FP2/FP4)"</i>
	Page 182/ Paragraph 10.1.10	Incorrect reference: <i>"Paragraph 1.1.4"</i>	Correct text: <i>"Paragraph 4.1.3"</i>
<b>5.6 Design Approach Document (APP-044 to APP-046)</b>	Page 11/ Paragraph 9	Incorrect Paragraph reference: <i>"...<b>paragraph 4.5.13</b>..."</i>	Correct Paragraph reference: <i>"...<b>Paragraph 4.6.15</b>..."</i>
	Page 11/ Paragraph 10	Incorrect Paragraph reference: <i>"<b>Paragraph 4.76.1-12</b>..."</i>	Correct Paragraph reference: <i>"<b>Paragraph 4.7.1-12</b>..."</i>
	Page 12/ Paragraph 7	Wording clarification: <i>"...comprises an 'Employment Opportunity Area' - London Plan policy</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"...falls within the Bexley Riverside Opportunity Area (OA), a London Plan designation. Refer to</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<i>[4.12] and at a local level 'Employment growth, innovation and enterprise' LBB Policy SP3...</i>	<i>Bexley Local Plan Policy SP3 Employment growth, innovation and enterprise - Section 3.18 and Figure 4...</i>
	Page 56/ Paragraph 5	Wording clarification: <i>"The Bexley Local Plan notes that..."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"The Bexley Growth Strategy 2017 notes that..."</i>
	Page 58/ Title/ Paragraph 1	Wording clarification: <i>"Bexley Green Infrastructure Study 2022"</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"Bexley Green Infrastructure Study 2020"</i>
	Page 114/ Paragraph 4	Incorrect section reference: <i>"Section 4.6 of..."</i>	Correct section reference: <i>"Section 4.7.1 of..."</i>
	Page 114/ Paragraph 8	Incorrect paragraph reference: <i>"...NPS EN-1 paragraph 4.5.3..."</i>	Correct paragraph reference: <i>"...NPS EN-1 paragraph 4.7.10..."</i>
	Page 145/ Paragraph 2 – Page 152 Paragraph 12	Incorrect paragraph reference: <i>"...para 4.6...."</i>	Correct paragraph reference: <i>"...para 4.7...."</i>
	Page 152/ Paragraph 13	Wording clarification: <i>"4.6.13 (Design principles..."</i>	Removal of "4.6.13": <i>"(Design principles..."</i>
<b>Category 6: Environmental Statement</b>			
<b>6.1 Chapter 2 (APP-051)</b>	Page 4/ Paragraph 2.1.13	Wording clarification: <i>"A secondary Thames Water Access Road is situated within this component, located between the Borax North and South land parcels, as shown in <b>Figure 1-2: Satellite Imagery of the Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2).</b>"</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"A secondary access road to the Crossness Sewage Treatment Works site (named in this report as the Thames Water Access Road) is situated within this component, located between the Borax North and South land parcels, as</i>



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>shown in <b>Figure 1-2: Satellite Imagery of the Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2).</b></i>
	Page 4/ Paragraph 2.1.18	Wording clarification: <i>“An electricity substation is located in the southeast of the Gannon land parcel within the Mitigation and Enhancement Area.”</i>	Clarified wording: Wording should refer to the electricity substation being located within the “Carbon Capture Facility” and not the “Mitigation and Enhancement Area”. Updated wording: <i>“An electricity substation is located in the southeast of the Gannon land parcel within the Carbon Capture Facility.”</i>
	Page 7/ Paragraph 2.1.37	Wording clarification: <i>“The northern perimeter of Creekside contains an area of Non-Accessible Land, and the Thames Water access road is considered Accessible Land as shown on <b>Figure 14-1: Accessible and Non-Accessible Open Land (Volume 2)</b> and <b>Figure 14-2: Accessible and Non-Accessible Open Land Satellite Imagery (Volume 2).</b>”</i>	Clarified wording: Wording should refer to “the western end of the Thames Water Access Road being considered Accessible Open Land.” <i>“The northern perimeter of Creekside contains an area of Non-Accessible Open Land, and the western end of the Thames Water Access Road being considered Accessible Open Land as shown on <b>Figure 14-1: Accessible and Non-Accessible Open Land (Volume 2)</b> and <b>Figure 14-2: Accessible and Non-Accessible Open Land Satellite Imagery (Volume 2).</b>”</i>
	Page 8/ Paragraph 2.1.49	Wording clarification: <i>“The land within this component has been identified as part of the mitigation proposals suggested by <b>Chapter 7: Terrestrial Biodiversity (Volume 1)</b>, the BNG Assessment (included in <b>Appendix</b></i>	Clarified wording: Wording should refer to the impacts to Metropolitan Open Land, access and Crossness LNR. <i>“The land within this component has been identified as part of the mitigation proposals</i>



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<b>7-1: Biodiversity Net Gain Report (Volume 3))</b> and the design development explained in the <b>Design Approach Document (Document Reference 5.6)</b> to provide habitat mitigation, compensation and enhancement, planting for landscape integration purposes as well as mitigation and enhancement proposals in light of the Proposed Scheme's impacts to Metropolitan Open Land and access."	suggested by <b>Chapter 7: Terrestrial Biodiversity (Volume 1)</b> , the BNG Assessment (included in <b>Appendix 7-1: Biodiversity Net Gain Report (Volume 3))</b> and the design development explained in the <b>Design Approach Document (Document Reference 5.6)</b> to provide habitat mitigation, compensation and enhancement, planting for landscape integration purposes as well as mitigation and enhancement proposals in light of the Proposed Scheme's impacts to Metropolitan Open Land, access and Crossness LNR."
	Page 12/Paragraph 2.2.5	Incorrect figure reference: <b>"Figure 2-1: The Key Elements of the Carbon Capture Facility (Volume 2) of the ES (Document Reference 6.2)"</b>	Correct figure reference: <b>"Engineering Plans: Indicative Equipment Layout (Document Reference 2.5)"</b>
	Page 29/ Paragraph 2.2.99	Wording clarification: "To reduce impact on Thames Water's water network and provide some resilience, it is proposed to include Water Supply Storage Tank(s), which will serve as a feed water tank to the water supply network for the Proposed Scheme. The Water Supply Storage Tank(s) will hold water supply overnight."	Clarified wording: "To reduce impact on Thames Water's water network and provide some resilience, it is proposed to include Water Supply Storage Tank(s) which will be located in the Water Management Area (within Work No. 1E Supporting Plant as shown on the <b>Works Plans (Document Reference 2.3)</b> , which will serve as a feed water tank to the water supply network for the Proposed Scheme. The Water Supply Storage Tank(s) will hold water supply overnight."

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Page 38/ Paragraph 2.4.4	Wording clarification: <i>“Table 2-4 below shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 1, Table 2-5 shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (single plant) and Table 2-6 shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (two plants).”</i>	Clarified wording: <b>Table 2-5</b> shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (two plants) and <b>Table 2-6</b> shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (single plant).  Updated wording: <i>“Table 2-4 below shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 1, Table 2-5 shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (two plants) and Table 2-6 shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (single plant).”</i>
<b>6.1 Chapter 4 (APP-053)</b>	Page 7/ Table 4-1, second paragraph of right hand column.	Wording clarification: <i>“The Proposed Scheme is not anticipated to have significant effects on biodiversity, as stated in Chapter 7: Terrestrial Biodiversity (Volume 1).”</i>	Clarified wording: <i>“The Proposed Scheme is not anticipated to have significant effects on biodiversity (with the exception of changes in air quality during the operational phase which are potentially up to Moderate Adverse (Significant)), as stated in Chapter 7: Terrestrial Biodiversity (Volume 1).”</i>
	Page 10/ Table 4-1, second paragraph of right hand column.	Wording clarification: <i>“As stated in Chapter 3: Consideration of Alternatives (Volume 1), no viable options to the core Temporary Construction Compound, including offsite, have been identified.”</i>	Clarified wording: <i>“no viable alternative options to the core Temporary Construction Compound, including offsite, have been identified.”</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Page 38/ Paragraph 4.20.12	Wording clarification: <b><i>“The Mitigation Schedule (Document Reference 7.8) documents the additional mitigation and monitoring proposed and indicates how these commitments are secured.”</i></b>	Clarified wording: <b><i>“The Mitigation Schedule (Document Reference 7.8) documents both embedded and additional mitigation and monitoring proposed and indicates how these commitments are secured.”</i></b>
<b>6.1 Chapter 5 (APP-054)</b>	Page 88 / Paragraph 5.8.86	Incorrect units: Units for total nitrosamines and nitramines concentration shown as ug/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ng/m <sup>3</sup> .	Correct wording: <b><i>“At residential properties, the maximum total nitrosamines and nitramines concentration is 0.019ng/m<sup>3</sup> (9.6% of the EAL)”</i></b> .
	Page 88 and 89 / Table 5-36	Incorrect units: Units for total nitrosamines and nitramines concentration shown as ug/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ng/m <sup>3</sup> .	Header of Column 3 amended to: <b><i>“With Proposed Scheme Maximum Mean PC (ug/m<sup>3</sup>, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)”</i></b> Header of Column 4 amended to: <b><i>“Air Quality Standard (ug/m<sup>3</sup>, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)”</i></b>
	Page 91 - 93 / Table 5-37	Incorrect units: Units for total nitrosamines and nitramines concentration shown as ug/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ng/m <sup>3</sup> .	Header of Column 4 amended to: <b><i>“With Proposed Scheme Maximum Mean PC (ug/m<sup>3</sup>, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)”</i></b> Header of Column 5 amended to: <b><i>“Max Adverse Impact (ug/m<sup>3</sup>, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)”</i></b> Header of Column 6 amended to:



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
			"Air Quality Standard (ug/m3, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m3)"
	Pages 103-104/ Tables 5-41 and 5-42	Over estimates of the amine deposition over the designated sites.	The maximum amine deposition for the Epping Forest (SAC and SSSI) was taken from a designated site significantly closer to the Proposed Scheme than Epping Forest, resulting in an overestimation of annual nitrogen and acid deposition.  There has been no change to the modelling methodology or model assumptions.
<b>6.1 Chapter 7 (APP-056)</b>	Page 39/ Paragraph 7.4.5 (seventh bullet point)	Wording clarification: <i>"Norman Road Field – covering a large area of Crossness LNR accessible to the public between Eastern Way, Norman Road and Borax North and Borax South, and including parcels of land adjacent to Norman Road: Creekside, Munster Joinery and Gannon;"</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"Norman Road Field – covering a small area of Crossness LNR and an area accessible to the public between Eastern Way, Norman Road and Borax South, and including parcels of land adjacent to Norman Road, Creekside, Munster Joinery and Gannon;"</i>
	Page 49/ Paragraph 7.5.6	Incorrect bullet point placement: The first three bullet points (Crossness LNR, Rainham Marshes LNR and Lesnes Abbey Wood LNR (comprising ancient woodland)) are erroneously under the sub-title 'Non Statutory Designated Sites'.	Correct bullet point placement: The first three bullet points (Crossness LNR, Rainham Marshes LNR and Lesnes Abbey Wood LNR (comprising ancient woodland)) are Statutory Designated Sites and should sit under the sub-title 'Statutory Designated Sites'.
	Page 70/ Table 7-10	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating:	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating: Aquatic macroinvertebrates <i>"National"</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		Aquatic macroinvertebrates <i>"Regional/County"</i>	
	Page 90/ Paragraph 7.8.35	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Degradation of water quality in ditches and other water bodies through contaminated run-off could result in adverse effects upon macroinvertebrates which are important at the Regional/County level, such as mortality events, and reductions in population size."</i>	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Degradation of water quality in ditches and other water bodies through contaminated run-off could result in adverse effects upon macroinvertebrates which are important at the National level, such as mortality events, and reductions in population size."</i>
	Page 93/ Paragraph 7.8.41	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Lighting of the construction area has the potential impact aquatic macroinvertebrates through alterations to photoperiod and potentially increasing predation levels. Aquatic macroinvertebrates are important at the Regional/County level."</i>	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Lighting of the construction area has the potential impact aquatic macroinvertebrates through alterations to photoperiod and potentially increasing predation levels. Aquatic macroinvertebrates are important at the National level."</i>
	Page 94/ Paragraph 7.8.42.	Wintering birds protected/notable species missing. Wintering birds will not be affected by changes in air quality during the construction stage. The text current states: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>"Bats;</i></li></ul>	Correct protected/notable species list: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>"Bats;</i></li><li>• <i>Breeding birds;</i></li><li>• <i>Reptiles;</i></li><li>• <i>Terrestrial invertebrates;</i></li></ul>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Breeding birds;</li> <li>Reptiles;</li> <li>Terrestrial invertebrates; and</li> <li>Water vole."</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Water vole; and</li> <li>Wintering Birds."</li> </ul>
	Page 96/ Paragraph 7.8.51	<p>Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect:</p> <p><i>"The aquatic macroinvertebrate species present within the Site are important on a Regional/County level due to the presence of several notable and Red Book macroinvertebrate species."</i></p> <p><i>"Therefore, there is likely to be an indirect, temporary, medium term <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> effect on the macroinvertebrate community."</i></p>	<p>Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect:</p> <p><i>"The aquatic macroinvertebrate species present within the Site are important on a National level due to the presence of several notable and Red Book macroinvertebrate species."</i></p> <p><i>"Therefore, there is likely to be an indirect, temporary, medium term <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b> effect on the macroinvertebrate community."</i></p>
	Page 98/ Paragraph 7.8.58	<p>Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating:</p> <p><i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Shading of vegetation during the construction phase of the Proposed Scheme (excluding the Mitigation and Enhancement Area) may lead to vegetation dieback, which has the potential to degrade habitat used by aquatic macroinvertebrates which are important at the Regional/County level."</i></p>	<p>Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating:</p> <p><i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Shading of vegetation during the construction phase of the Proposed Scheme (excluding the Mitigation and Enhancement Area) may lead to vegetation dieback, which has the potential to degrade habitat used by aquatic macroinvertebrates which are important at the National level."</i></p>



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Page 107/ Paragraph 7.8.77	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Degradation of water quality in ditches and otherwater bodies through contaminated run-off could result in adverse effects upon macroinvertebrates (which are important at the Regional/County level), such as mortality events, and reductions in population size."</i>	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Degradation of water quality in ditches and otherwater bodies through contaminated run-off could result in adverse effects upon macroinvertebrates (which are important at the National level), such as mortality events, and reductions in population size."</i>
	Page 111/ Paragraph 7.8.82	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic Macroinvertebrates: Operational lighting has the potential to impact aquatic macroinvertebrates (which are important at the Regional/County level) through alterations to photoperiod and potentially increasing predation levels."</i>	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic Macroinvertebrates: Operational lighting has the potential to impact aquatic macroinvertebrates (which are important at the National level) through alterations to photoperiod and potentially increasing predation levels."</i>
	Page 115/ Paragraph 7.8.90	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect: <i>"The aquatic macroinvertebrate species present within the Site are important on a Regional/County level due to the presence of several notable and Red Book macroinvertebrate species."</i> <i>"Therefore, there is likely to be an indirect, permanent, long term <b>Minor</b></i>	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect: <i>"The aquatic macroinvertebrate species present within the Site are important on a National level due to the presence of several notable and Red Book macroinvertebrate species."</i> <i>"Therefore, there is likely to be an indirect, permanent, long term <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b> effect on the macroinvertebrate community."</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<b>Adverse (Not Significant)</b> effect on the macroinvertebrate community.”	
	Page 118/ Paragraph 7.8.97.	Missing wintering birds from the list of remaining ecological features for which the impact is relevant.	Additional bullet point: <b>“Wintering birds:</b> habitats within the Site Boundary would not receive significant shading (other than the exceptions noted above under Above Ground Pipelines) and would not be degraded. Thus, only a highly localised, limited area of wintering bird habitat would be affected by shading. Wintering birds are important at the County level. The magnitude of change will be negligible. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent, long term <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> effect on wintering birds.”
	Page 119/ Paragraph 7.8.97	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect: “Aquatic macroinvertebrates are important at the Regional/County level.” “Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent, long term <b>Minor (Not Significant)</b> effect on aquatic macroinvertebrates.”	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect: “Aquatic macroinvertebrates are important at the National level.” “Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent, long term <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b> effect on aquatic macroinvertebrates.”
	Page 131/ Table 7-11	Significance of effect with embedded mitigation does not match that provided in-text: <i>Construction – Lighting – Moderate Adverse (Significant)</i>	Correct significance of effect: <i>“Construction – Lighting – Negligible (Not Significant)”</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Page 131/ Table 7-11	Incorrect Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect: Changes in Air quality (construction) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>"Minor Adverse (Not Significant)"</i> Residual effect: <i>"Negligible (Not Significant)"</i>	Correct Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect: Changes in Air quality (construction) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>"Moderate adverse (Significant)"</i> Residual effect: <i>"Minor Adverse (Not Significant)"</i>
	Page 135/ Table 7-11	Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation does not match that provided in-text: <i>"Operation – Lighting – Terrestrial Invertebrates – Negligible (Not Significant)"</i>	Correct significance of effect: <i>"Operation – Lighting – Terrestrial Invertebrates – Minor Adverse (Not Significant)"</i>
	Page 137/ Table 7-11	Incorrect Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect: Changes in Air quality (operation) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>"Minor Adverse (Not Significant)"</i> Residual effect: <i>"Negligible (Not Significant)"</i>	Correct Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect: Changes in Air quality (operation) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>"Moderate Adverse (Significant)"</i> Residual effect: <i>"Minor Adverse (Not Significant)"</i>



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Page 137/ Table 7-11	Incorrect Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect:  Shading (operation) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates  Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>"Minor (Not Significant)"</i>  Residual effect: <i>"Negligible (Not Significant)"</i>	Correct Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect:  Shading (operation) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates  Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>"Moderate Adverse (Significant)"</i>  Residual effect: <i>"Minor (Not Significant)"</i>
<b>6.1 Chapter 8 (APP-057)</b>	Page 99/ Paragraph 8.8.55	Magnitude of Impact not stated: <i>"Considering the anticipated rapid dispersal and dilution of mobilised contaminants within this fairly large and dynamic water body, the proposed embedded mitigation and short duration of the works are likely to minimise the potential magnitude of impact."</i>	Magnitude of Impact stated: <i>"Considering the anticipated rapid dispersal and dilution of mobilised contaminants within this fairly large and dynamic water body, the proposed embedded mitigation and short duration of the works are likely to minimise the potential magnitude of impact, which is likely to be low."</i>
	Page 103/ Paragraph 8.8.81	Incorrect magnitude of impact: <i>"Therefore, the impact is considered to be of low magnitude."</i>	Correct magnitude of impact: <i>"Therefore, the impact is considered to be of negligible magnitude."</i>
	Page 122/ Paragraph 8.8.164	Magnitude of impact missing: <i>"Furthermore, as the saltmarsh is located approximately 500m away from the Proposed Jetty, this habitat is likely to be outside of the ZOI for lighting impacts, with the proposed embedded mitigation in place."</i>	Magnitude of impact: <i>"Furthermore, as the saltmarsh is located approximately 500m away from the Proposed Jetty, this habitat is likely to be outside of the ZOI for lighting impacts, with the proposed embedded mitigation in place a negligible magnitude of impact is anticipated."</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Page 122/ Paragraph 8.8.165	Magnitude of impact missing: <i>“Due to the impoverished nature of the associated benthic communities that are predominantly infaunal, turbidity of the water reduces light penetration and embedded mitigation, effects of light will be minimal.”</i>	Magnitude of impact: <i>“Due to the impoverished nature of the associated benthic communities that are predominantly infaunal, turbidity of the water reduces light penetration and embedded mitigation, effects of light will be minimal and as such a negligible magnitude of impact is anticipated.”</i>
	Page 124/ Paragraph 8.8.174	Magnitude of impact missing: <i>“Mitigation such as reduced vessels speeds will also reduce the potential for impacts.”</i>	Magnitude of impact: <i>“Mitigation such as reduced vessels speeds will also reduce the potential for impacts, as such the magnitude of impact is likely to be negligible.”</i>
	Page 131/ Table 8-14	Significance of effect with embedded mitigation does not match that provided in-text: <i>“For changes in water quality and release of contaminants, marine habitats and associated intertidal and subtidal communities, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation is <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b>.”</i>	Correct significance of effect: <i>“For changes in water quality and release of contaminants, marine habitats and associated intertidal and subtidal communities, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation should be <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> and <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b>.”</i>
<b>6.1 Chapter 9 (APP-058)</b>	Page 25/ Paragraph 9.6.8	Incorrect land parcel terminology: <i>“The higher areas, where peat is encountered, which might have been suitable for occupation and other activities in the prehistoric, are located in the northwestern (Riverside 2) and central (Borax North, Borax South and</i>	Correct land parcel terminology: <i>“The higher areas, where peat is encountered, which might have been suitable for occupation and other activities in the prehistoric, are located in the northwestern (Riverside 2) and central (Borax North, Borax South and Norman Road Field land parcels) parts of the Site.”</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<i>Crossness LNR land parcels) parts of the Site."</i>	
<b>6.1 Chapter 11 (APP-060)</b>	Page 83/ Paragraph 11.7.8	Incorrect watercourse naming and unclear wording: <i>"Sections of OW4 and OW3 will be moved to the north in order to accommodate the Flue Gas Supply Ductwork."</i>	Correct watercourse naming and updated wording: <i>"Sections of OW4 and the northern section of OW3 will be moved in order to accommodate the Flue Gas Supply Ductwork."</i>
	Page 95/ Paragraph 11.8.19	Incorrect magnitude of impact: <i>"The magnitude of change of the superficial deposit aquifers, prior to additional mitigation is Minor Adverse and the sensitivity is Medium."</i>	Correct magnitude of impact: <i>"The magnitude of change of the superficial deposit aquifers, prior to additional mitigation is No Change and the sensitivity is Medium."</i>
	Page 95/ Paragraph 11.8.19	Incorrect terminology for significance of effect: <i>"Therefore, the likely effect of the Proposed Scheme on the superficial deposit aquifers during construction has been assessed as temporary, direct, long term, <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> effect."</i>	Correct terminology for significance of effect: <i>"Therefore, the likely effect of the Proposed Scheme on the superficial deposit aquifers during construction has been assessed as temporary, direct, long term, <b>Neutral (Not Significant)</b> effect."</i>
	Page 100/ Paragraph 11.8.49	Incorrect terminology for significance of effect: <i>"Due to the limited porosity and permeability of the superficial deposits (Alluvium and Taplow Gravel Member classified Medium sensitivity) and depth to the bedrock aquifers (Thanet Sands,</i>	Correct terminology for significance of effect: <i>"Due to the limited porosity and permeability of the superficial deposits (Alluvium and Taplow Gravel Member classified Medium sensitivity) and depth to the bedrock aquifers (Thanet Sands, Lambeth Group and Chalk Group) it is considered that there would be a temporary,</i>



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<i>Lambeth Group and Chalk Group) it is considered that there would be a temporary, indirect, long term <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> effect."</i>	<i>indirect, long term <b>Neutral (Not Significant)</b> effect."</i>
	Page 107/ Table 11-17	Incorrect terminology for significance of effect: Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the chemical and physical alternation of the superficial deposit aquifers (including groundwater flow barriers) states the effect is " <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> ".	Correct terminology for significance of effect: Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the chemical and physical alternation of the superficial deposit aquifers (including groundwater flow barriers) should state the effect is " <b>Neutral (Not Significant)</b> ".
	Page 110/ Table 11-17	Incorrect terminology for significance of effect: Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the pollution impacts to groundwater quality states the effect is " <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> ".	Correct terminology for significance of effect: Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the pollution impacts to groundwater quality should state the effect is " <b>Neutral (Not Significant)</b> ".
<b>6.1 Chapter 14 (APP-063)</b>	Page 53/ Paragraph 14.8.61	Incorrect significance of effect: " <i>Therefore, there is likely to be an overall direct, permanent, long term, <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> effect on the Accessible Open Land.</i> "	Incorrect significance of effect: " <i>Therefore, there is likely to be an overall direct, permanent, long term, <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> effect on the Accessible Open Land.</i> "
	Page 68/ Table 14-18	Incorrect significance of effect:	Correct significance of effect:

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		For operational effects on terrestrial recreation, Accessible Open Land, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation incorrectly states “ <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> ”.	For operational effects on terrestrial recreation, Accessible Open Land, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation should state “ <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> ”.
6.1 Chapter 19 (APP-068)	Page 24/ Paragraph 19.5.1	Incorrect terminology: <i>“Upstream, it was not physically possible connect a new jetty past the Crossness Thames Water site as their site utilises all of the waterfront, meaning anything further than this was ruled out.”</i>	Correct terminology: <i>“Upstream, it was not physically possible to connect a new jetty past the Crossness Sewage Treatment Works as their site utilises all of the waterfront, meaning anything further than this was ruled out.”</i>
	Page 30/ Paragraph 19.9.3	Acronym not explained: <i>“PEC”</i>	Explained acronym: <i>“Pilotage Exemption Certificates”</i>
6.1 Chapter 21 (APP-070)	Page 3/ Table 21-1 ‘The London Plan’	Inappropriate reference: <i>“Policies T6, T6.2, T2 and T5 provide measures to support travel and parking in developments.”</i>	Sentence to be removed.
6.1 Chapter 22 (APP-071)	Page 19/ Table 21-1	Incorrect significance of effect with embedded mitigation: <i>“Construction – Lighting – <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b>”</i>	Correct significance of effect with mitigation: <i>“Construction – Lighting – <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b>”</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Page 24/ Table 21-1	Incorrect significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation. <i>“Operation – Lighting – Terrestrial Invertebrates – <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b>”</i>	Correct significance of effect: <i>“Operation – Lighting – Terrestrial Invertebrates <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b>”</i>
	Page 25/ Table 21-1	Incorrect significance for residual effect: Changes in Air Quality – Residual Effect – <i>“<b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b>”</i>	Correct significance for residual effect: <i>“<b>Potentially up to Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b>”</i>
	Page 32/ Table 21-1	Additional significance of effect with embedded mitigation: <i>“For changes in water quality and release of contaminants, marine habitats and associated intertidal and subtidal communities, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation is <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b>.”</i>	Additional significance of effect with embedded mitigation: <i>“For changes in water quality and release of contaminants, marine habitats and associated intertidal and subtidal communities, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation should be <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> and <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b>.”</i>
	Page 55 Table 21-1	There is a typographical error with regards to the reporting of the significance of effects for the residual effects associated with changes to character and visual amenity from study area open spaces during the operational	Correct terminology for significance of effect: <i>. “For changes to character and visual amenity from study area open spaces during the operational phase, the residual significance of effect should be Slight-Moderate Adverse (Not</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		phase, which should be described as not significant.	<i>Significant) (Year 1) and Slight-Moderate Adverse (Not Significant) (Year 15)."</i>
	Page 58/ Table 21-1	Incorrect terminology for significance of effect: <i>"Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the chemical and physical alternation of the superficial deposit aquifers (including groundwater flow barriers) for both the construction and operational phase states the effect is <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b>."</i>	Correct terminology for significance of effect: <i>"Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the chemical and physical alternation of the superficial deposit aquifers (including groundwater flow barriers) should state the effect is <b>Neutral (Not Significant)</b>."</i>
	Page 61/ Table 21-1	Incorrect terminology for significance of effect: <i>"Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the pollution impacts to groundwater quality states the effect is <b>Slight Adverse (Not Significant)</b>."</i>	Correct terminology for significance of effect: <i>"Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the pollution impacts to groundwater quality should state the effect is <b>Neutral (Not Significant)</b>."</i>
	Page 61/ Table 21-1	Incorrect significance rating: Operation Phase – Changes to groundwater flow paths (including groundwater flow barriers) – <b>"Neutral (Not Significant)"</b>	Correct significance rating: Operation Phase – Changes to groundwater flow paths (including groundwater flow barriers) – <b>"Slight Adverse (Not Significant)"</b>
	Page 69/ Table 21-1	Incorrect significance rating: Construction Phase – Effects on Walkers and Cyclists, FP1, residual effect – <b>"Negligible (Not Significant)"</b>	Correct significance rating: Construction Phase – Effects on Walkers and Cyclists, FP1, residual effect – <b>"Minor Adverse (Not Significant)"</b>



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
6.2 Environmental Statement Volume 2 Figures (APP-072 and APP-073)	Figure 7-4: Locally Important Statutory Designated Sites and Non-statutory Designated Sites	Incorrect figure title: <b><i>“Figure 7-4: Locally Important Non-statutory Designated Sites”</i></b>	Correct figure title: <b><i>“Figure 7-4: Locally Important Statutory Designated Sites and Non-statutory Designated Sites”</i></b>
	Figure 7-10: Ecological Survey Areas	Incorrect terminology: <i>“Eastern Thames Path”</i> <i>“Western Thames Path”</i>	Correct terminology: <i>“Eastern England Coast Path (FP3/NCN1)”</i> <i>“Western England Coast Path (FP3/NCN1)”</i>
	Figure 11-2: Surface Water Features	OW7 Missing light blue line (Ordinary Watercourse in the legend).	A light blue line should be present along the western edge of Norman Road (Ordinary Watercourse in the legend), see light blue line on <b>Figure 7-20: Watervole Watercourse Locations (Volume 2)</b> .
6.3 Environmental Statement Volume 3 Appendices Appendix 4-2 (APP-076)	Page 99/ Table 4	Unclear wording and reference to submitted documents: <b><i>“The Outline CoCP (Document Reference 7.4 and the Operational EMP, which will be prepared prior to the Proposed Scheme commencing operation, will include mitigation measures and biosecurity precautions required to prevent the spread of INNS. Further information is provided within</i></b>	Updated, clearer wording: <b><i>“The Outline CoCP (Document Reference 7.4) includes mitigation measures and biosecurity precautions required to prevent the spread of INNS. The Operational EMP, which will be prepared prior to the Proposed Scheme commencing operation, will also include appropriate measures. Further information is provided within Chapter 8: Marine Biodiversity (Volume 1).”</i></b>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<b>Chapter 8: Marine Biodiversity (Volume 1)."</b>	
	Page 169/ Table 11	<p>Wording clarification:</p> <p><i>"Erith Marshes SINC has been incorporated within the baseline and assessed within <b>Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1)</b>. In line with the sensitivity criteria, both receptors have been given a medium sensitivity score, reflecting their classification as recreational facilities that are of regional status and/or medium visitor numbers.</i></p> <p><b>Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1)</b> assesses the impacts of the Proposed Scheme on users of the Crossness LNR and SINC."</p>	<p>Clarified wording:</p> <p><i>"The areas of Erith Marshes SINC and Crossness LNR that are Accessible Open Land, have been incorporated within the baseline and assessed within <b>Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1)</b>. In line with the sensitivity criteria, Accessible Open Land has been given a medium sensitivity score (see <b>Table 14-10 of Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1)</b>), reflecting their classification as recreational facilities that are of regional status and/or medium visitor numbers.</i></p> <p><b>Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1)</b> assesses the impacts of the Proposed Scheme on users of the Accessible Open Land within Crossness LNR and Erith Marshes SINC."</p>
<b>Appendix 5-2 (APP-078)</b>	Page 14-15 / Table 1-4	<p>Incorrect wording:</p> <p>Table 1-4 indicates that sensitivity testing for amine reaction rates was not undertaken.</p>	<p>Correct text:</p> <p>A full suite of sensitivity tests were undertaken, and the results are reported in Section 4 of this appendix, the parameters used for sensitivity testing are as follows:</p>



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction																																																					
			<table> <tr> <th>Reaction Parameter</th><th>Test</th><th>MEA</th><th>DMA</th></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k1</td><td>upper</td><td>2.26</td><td>1.63</td></tr> <tr> <td>lower</td><td>1.87</td><td>1.54</td></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k2</td><td>upper</td><td>3.10E-09</td><td>3.10E-09</td></tr> <tr> <td>lower</td><td>9.50E-08</td><td>8.90E-08</td></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k3</td><td>upper</td><td>0.006</td><td>0.0021</td></tr> <tr> <td>lower</td><td>0.0014</td><td>0.002</td></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k4a</td><td>upper</td><td>0.0078</td><td>0.0078</td></tr> <tr> <td>lower</td><td>0.00021</td><td>0.0078</td></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k4</td><td>upper</td><td>0.0086</td><td>0.0097</td></tr> <tr> <td>lower</td><td>0.00031</td><td>0.008</td></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">branching ratio for amine / OH reaction</td><td>upper</td><td>0.15</td><td>0.42</td></tr> <tr> <td>lower</td><td>0.05</td><td>0.38</td></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">ratio of j (nitrosamine) / jNO<sub>2</sub></td><td>upper</td><td>0</td><td>0.25</td></tr> <tr> <td>lower</td><td>0</td><td>0.53</td></tr> </table>	Reaction Parameter	Test	MEA	DMA	k1	upper	2.26	1.63	lower	1.87	1.54	k2	upper	3.10E-09	3.10E-09	lower	9.50E-08	8.90E-08	k3	upper	0.006	0.0021	lower	0.0014	0.002	k4a	upper	0.0078	0.0078	lower	0.00021	0.0078	k4	upper	0.0086	0.0097	lower	0.00031	0.008	branching ratio for amine / OH reaction	upper	0.15	0.42	lower	0.05	0.38	ratio of j (nitrosamine) / jNO <sub>2</sub>	upper	0	0.25	lower	0	0.53
Reaction Parameter	Test	MEA	DMA																																																					
k1	upper	2.26	1.63																																																					
	lower	1.87	1.54																																																					
k2	upper	3.10E-09	3.10E-09																																																					
	lower	9.50E-08	8.90E-08																																																					
k3	upper	0.006	0.0021																																																					
	lower	0.0014	0.002																																																					
k4a	upper	0.0078	0.0078																																																					
	lower	0.00021	0.0078																																																					
k4	upper	0.0086	0.0097																																																					
	lower	0.00031	0.008																																																					
branching ratio for amine / OH reaction	upper	0.15	0.42																																																					
	lower	0.05	0.38																																																					
ratio of j (nitrosamine) / jNO <sub>2</sub>	upper	0	0.25																																																					
	lower	0	0.53																																																					
	Page 53 / Paragraph 4.1.1	Incorrect table reference: “The parameters tested were set out in Table 2-4, above, and testing was undertaken using meteorological data for 2020.”	Corrected table reference: “The parameters tested were set out in Table 1-4 above, and testing was undertaken using meteorological data for 2020.”																																																					
	Page 75/ Tables 7-5 and 7-6	Over estimates of the amine deposition over the designated sites.	<p>The maximum amine deposition for the Epping Forest (SAC and SSSI) was taken from a designated site significantly closer to the Proposed Scheme than Epping Forest, resulting in an overestimation of annual nitrogen and acid deposition.</p> <p>There has been no change to the modelling methodology or model assumptions.</p>																																																					
	Page 75 / Table 7-5	Table 7-5 an error in the spatial referencing of amine deposition in the post-processing of model results for ecological impacts. The errors are not related to methodological changes or to updated model inputs but simply relate to	Correct Table 7-5 with that set-out in <b>Appendix B</b> of the <b>Response to Relevant Representations Appendices (AS-044)</b> .																																																					

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		Excel look-up errors in the existing model results files used to generate data.	
	Page 75 / Table 7-6	Table 7-6 an error in the spatial referencing of amine deposition in the post-processing of model results for ecological impacts. The errors are not related to methodological changes or to updated model inputs but simply relate to Excel look-up errors in the existing model results files used to generate data.	Correct Table 7-6 with that set-out in <b>Appendix B</b> of the <b>Response to Relevant Representations Appendices (AS-044)</b> .
<b>6.3 Appendix 5-3 (APP-079)</b>	Page 6 - 8 / Table 2	Incorrect units: Units for amines shown as ng/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ug/m <sup>3</sup> .	Corrected table wording: The units within Column 3 should be amended to ug/m <sup>3</sup> for Amine 1, Amine 2 and Total Amine.
	Page 9 - 11 / Table 3	Incorrect units: Units for amines shown as ng/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ug/m <sup>3</sup> .	Corrected table wording: The units within Column 3 should be amended to ug/m <sup>3</sup> for Amine 1, Amine 2 and Total Amine.
	Page 21 / Table 8	Table 8 an error in the spatial referencing of amine deposition in the post-processing of model results for ecological impacts. The errors are not related to methodological changes or to updated model inputs but simply relate to Excel look-up errors in the existing model results files used to generate data.	Correct Table 8 with that set-out in <b>Appendix B</b> of the <b>Response to Relevant Representations Appendices (AS-044)</b> .
	Page 23 / Table 9	Table 9 an error in the spatial referencing of amine deposition in the post-processing of model results for ecological impacts. The errors are not	Correct Table 9 with that set-out in <b>Appendix B</b> of the <b>Response to Relevant Representations Appendices (AS-044)</b> .

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		related to methodological changes or to updated model inputs but simply relate to Excel look-up errors in the existing model results files used to generate data.	
	Page 45 / Table 26	Table 26 an error in the spatial referencing of amine deposition in the post-processing of model results for ecological impacts. The errors are not related to methodological changes or to updated model inputs but simply relate to Excel look-up errors in the existing model results files used to generate data.	Correct Table 26 with that set-out in <b>Appendix B</b> of the <b>Response to Relevant Representations Appendices (AS-044)</b> .
	Page 47 / Table 27	Table 27 an error in the spatial referencing of amine deposition in the post-processing of model results for ecological impacts. The errors are not related to methodological changes or to updated model inputs but simply relate to Excel look-up errors in the existing model results files used to generate data.	Correct Table 27 with that set-out in <b>Appendix B</b> of the <b>Response to Relevant Representations Appendices (AS-044)</b> .
<b>Appendix 5-4 (APP-080)</b>	Page 8/ Paragraph 2.2.15	Erroneous distances reported: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Residential properties including:</i></li> <li>• <i>Clydesdale Way (approximately 110m to the southeast);</i></li> <li>• <i>North Road (approximately 200m to the southeast);</i></li> </ul>	Correct distances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Residential properties including:</i></li> <li>• <i>Clydesdale Way (approximately 50m to the southeast);</i></li> <li>• <i>North Road (approximately 170m to the southeast);</i></li> </ul>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Norman Road (approximately 200m to the south);</li> <li>Poppy Close (approximately 200m to the south);</li> <li>Jenningtree Way (approximately 610m to the east); and</li> <li>Leatherbottle Green (approximately 1km to the southwest)."</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Norman Road (approximately 170m to the south);</li> <li>Poppy Close (approximately 275m to the south);</li> <li>Jenningtree Way (approximately 600m to the east); and</li> <li>Leatherbottle Green (approximately 1km to the southwest)."</li> </ul>
<b>Appendix 7-8 (APP-095)</b>	Page 8/ Paragraphs 4.2.2 and 4.2.4	Incorrect terminology: "Riverside Campus"	Correct terminology: "Riverside 1 and Riverside 2"
<b>Appendix 7-9 (APP-096)</b>	Page 10/ Paragraph 2.5.3	Erroneous text referring to outside of the Site Boundary: "However, outside of the Site Boundary, the southern section of OW6 was flatter with less vegetation and so rafts were deployed within this section instead."	Amended text with text to be deleted: "However, the southern section of OW6 (located within the Site Boundary) was flatter with less vegetation and so rafts were deployed within this section instead."
<b>Appendix 11-1 (APP-106)</b>	Page 23/ Paragraph 4.2.3	Wording clarification: "Responses to the wider comments raised in the Scoping Opinion can be found in the PEIR <sup>24</sup> ."	Clarified wording: "Responses to the wider comments raised in the Scoping Opinion can be found in <b>Appendix 4-2: Scoping Opinion Responses (Volume 3)</b> ."
<b>Appendix 11-2 (AS-023)</b>	Page 38 and 40/ Paragraphs	A review of the Marsh Dykes Model identified an issue with the breach set up within the Environment Agency's Marsh	Updated results presented in <b>Appendix C of the Applicant's Response to Examining Authority's First Written Questions (REP3-035)</b> .



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	8.3.46 to 8.3.48	Dykes Model, which inherently affected the Cory Marsh Dykes Model.	
	Table 8-4		Updated results presented in <b>Appendix C of the Applicant's Response to Examining Authority's First Written Questions (REP3-035)</b> .
<b>Appendix 11-4 (APP-109)</b>	Page 33/ Paragraph 4.3.1.	Original wording: <i>"it is assumed that the river will reach a morphological equilibrium state in the 5.5 hours between dredging operations, therefore accumulative impacts are not considered"</i> .	Updated wording: <i>"it is assumed that the suspended sediment concentration within the river will fall to background concentrations due to sediment settling in the 5.5 hours between dredging operations, therefore accumulative impacts are not considered"</i> .
<b>6.4 Non-Technical Summary (APP-120)</b>	Page 13 / Alternative Layouts for the Carbon Capture Facility Figure	Incorrect coverage of Option 2 (yellow).	Option 2 should include the Gannon land parcel.
	Page 19/ Section 9/ Operation Phase Paragraph	Receptor reference missing: <i>"Therefore, significant adverse effects are anticipated for changes in character and visual amenity from Accessible Open Land in proximity to the Site."</i>	Receptor reference addition: <i>"Therefore, significant adverse effects are anticipated for changes in character, vegetation cover within the Site and visual amenity from Accessible Open Land, open spaces and the local Public Rights of Way network in proximity to the Site."</i>
	Page 22/ Section 11/	Missing reference to a significant effect:	Amended text with significance added:

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Operation Phase Paragraph	<i>"The outcome of the greenhouse gas assessment for the operational phase is there will be a substantial decrease in greenhouse gas emissions on the Riverside Campus, having a beneficial effect."</i>	<i>"The outcome of the greenhouse gas assessment for the operational phase is there will be a substantial decrease in greenhouse gas emissions on the Riverside Campus, having a significant beneficial effect."</i>
	Page 30/ Section 16/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> Paragraph	Wording clarification: <i>"No significant cumulative effects are anticipated during construction or operation. Once operational, the enhancement generated through the Mitigation and Enhancement Area outlined above in Section 3 will positively contribute to reducing cumulative effects and enhancing the recreational experience for users."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"Significant effects anticipated on the users of Accessible Open Land during construction and operation, the mitigation measures described in Chapter 9 and Chapter 12 will help to minimise these effects. Once operational, the enhancement generated through the Mitigation and Enhancement Area outlined above in Section 3 will positively contribute to reducing cumulative effects and enhancing the recreational experience for users. There are no other significant effects on sensitive receptors during both the construction and operational phase."</i>
<b>Category 7: Other Submission Documents</b>			
<b>7.2 Outline Drainage Strategy (APP-122)</b>	Page 2/ Paragraph 1.2.3	Wording clarification: <i>"The Mitigation and Enhancement Area, shown in solid green in Figure 1-1, will not be built upon as part of the Proposed Scheme. with improvements to be made to it pursuant to the <b>Outline Landscape, Biodiversity, Access and Recreation Delivery Strategy ("LaBARDS")</b>."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"The Mitigation and Enhancement Area, shown in solid green in Figure 1-1, will not be built upon as part of the Proposed Scheme but improvements are to be made to it pursuant to the <b>Outline Landscape, Biodiversity, Access and Recreation Delivery Strategy (Outline LaBARDS) (Document Reference 7.9)</b>."</i>



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Page 18/ Paragraph 4.3.4	Wording clarification: <i>"The overall strategy involves diverting the majority of surface water originating from the Carbon Capture Facility to the area east of the Great Breach Dyke in the Mitigation and Enhancement Area, as indicated in <b>Figure 1-1.</b>"</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"The overall strategy involves diverting the majority of surface water originating from the Carbon Capture Facility to the Mitigation and Enhancement Area, as indicated in <b>Figure 1-1.</b>"</i>
	Page 21/ Paragraph 4.5.3	Incorrect appendix reference: <b>"Appendix E"</b>	Correct appendix reference: <b>"Appendix D"</b>
	Page 24/ Paragraph 4.6.1.	Wording clarification: <i>"The Carbon Capture Facility drainage will discharge into the existing watercourse/ditch network and in places to aid connectivity, it is proposed to improve the existing ditches, as set out in (...)"</i>	Clarified wording: <i>The Carbon Capture Facility drainage will discharge into the existing watercourse/ditch network. To aid connectivity, it is proposed to improve the existing ditches, as set out in (...)"</i>
	Page 27/ Paragraph 4.6.10.	Wording clarification: <i>"(...) This may include alteration of the location of the current outfalls into the Great Breach Dyke may need alteration."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"(...) The location of the current outfalls into the Great Breach Dyke may need alteration."</i>
	Page 27/ Paragraph 4.7.2.	Wording clarification: <i>"The design of the Proposed Scheme requires that section(s) of the existing drainage channels (OW4b, OW7a, OW11a and OW15a) that cross the Carbon Capture Facility</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"The design of the Proposed Scheme requires that section(s) of the existing drainage channels (OW4, OW15, OW11(a) and OW18) that cross the Carbon Capture Facility area will need to be infilled and stopped up. The potentially affected</i>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<i>area will need to be infilled and stopped up. The potentially affected drainage channel sections are shown in <b>Figure 4-5</b> below."</i>	<i>drainage channel sections are shown in <b>Figure 4-5</b> below."</i>
	Page 39/ Paragraph 9.1.4.	Wording clarification: <i>"The drawings appended to this Strategy provide an illustration of one way in such the principles, measures and outcomes set out in this Strategy could be delivered, to demonstrate that this is a workable strategy."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"The drawings appended to this Strategy provide an illustration of one way in which the principles, measures and outcomes set out in this Strategy could be delivered, to demonstrate that this is a workable strategy."</i>
<b>7.5 Terrestrial Site Alternatives Report (APP-125)</b>	Page 27 / Table 3-2	Incorrect description of the Flue Gas Ductwork to the East Zone in the first four bullet points at Optioneering Principle 5: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>"Flue gas ducting would predominantly be within the Applicant's Riverside 1/Riverside 2 site. The route would require crossing a small section of third-party land (Aviva) between the Applicant's Riverside 1 site and Eastern Zone, and FP4.</i></li> <li>• <i>Routing of flue gas ducting would be constrained – for Riverside 2, it must run either in the Northern section of the Applicant's Riverside 1 site where</i></li> </ul>	Correct description in the first four bullet points at Optioneering Principle 5: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>"Routing of flue gas ducting would be constrained – for Riverside 2, it must run either in the Northern section of the Applicant's Riverside 1 site where there is a slope between the Site ground level and England Coast Path ground level, or around the Western and Southern boundary of the Riverside 1/ Riverside 2 sites, which has the drawback of being one of the longest ducting routes from Riverside 2 of around 630m. Any route would require crossing FP4 running between the eastern boundary of Riverside 1/Riverside 2 site and the Iron Mountain facility."</i></li> </ul>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
		<p><i>there is a slope between the Site ground level and England Coast Path ground level, or around the Western and Southern boundary of the Riverside 1/ Riverside 2 sites, which has the drawback of being one of the longest ducting routes from Riverside 2 of around 630m.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any route would require crossing FP4 running between the Eastern boundary of Riverside1/Riverside 2 site and the Iron Mountain facility.</li> </ul> <p><i>The estimated length of the flue gas ducting from Riverside 2 is a minimum distance of 470m."</i></p>	
<b>7.9 Outline LaBARDS (APP-129)</b>	Contents Page	Appendix page numbering error. Appendix 1 – Page 2 Appendix 2 – Page 1	Correct page numbering: Appendix 1 – Page 68. Appendix 2 – Page 78.
	Page 3/ Paragraph 1.1.5	Inconsistency with contents page and document structure.	Update contents page to align with document structure.
	Page 5/ Paragraph 2.1.2	Incorrect figure reference: <b>"Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Location Plan (Volume 2)"</b>	Correct figure reference: <b>"Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2)"</b>

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	Page 7/ Paragraph 3.2.1.	Incorrect section reference: <i>(...known as the 'Mitigation and Enhancement Area' and 'CCF Area' - see section 4),...</i>	Correct section reference: <i>(...known as the 'Mitigation and Enhancement Area' and 'CCF Area' – see section 2)...</i>
	Page 65	Missing page number	Correct Page number 65 of 77
	Page 10/ Paragraph 5.2.2	Incorrect section reference: <i>"outlined in <b>section 9</b>"</i>	Correct section reference: <i>"outlined in <b>section 10</b>"</i>
	Page 15/ Paragraph 6.1.2	Incorrect section reference: <i>"...in <b>section 9</b> and the BNG strategy"</i>	Correct section reference: <i>"in <b>section 10</b> and BNG strategy in section 11."</i>
	Page 15/ Paragraph 6.2.1	Incorrect section reference: <i>"... in <b>section 9</b>. Plan area and field references are used to support the description of the proposals in section 9."</i>	Correct section reference: <i>"...in <b>section 10</b>"</i>
	Page 15/ Paragraph 6.2.1	Incorrect section reference: <i>"...scheduled in <b>section 12</b>"</i>	Correct section reference: <i>"...scheduled in <b>section 13</b>"</i>
	Page 17/ Paragraph 6.3.1	Incorrect section reference: <i>"...and relevant details are provided in <b>section 10</b>..."</i>	Correct section reference: <i>"...and relevant details are provided in <b>section 11</b>..."</i>
	Page 17/ Paragraph 6.4.1	Incorrect figure number: <i>"<b>Figure 19</b>"</i>	Correct figure number: <i>"<b>Figure 9</b>"</i>
	Page 25/ Subheading	Incorrect document reference: <i>"Bexley Green Infrastructure Study 2022"</i>	Correct document reference: <i>"Bexley Green Infrastructure Study 2020"</i>



Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
	and Paragraph 6.4.1		
	Page 28/ Paragraph 7.4.4	Incorrect report reference: “... <b>Terrestrial Site Assessment Report (TSAR) (Document Reference 7.5)</b> ...”	Correct report reference: “... <b>Terrestrial Site Alternatives Report (TSAR) (Document Reference 7.5)</b> ...”
	Page 28/ Paragraph 7.4.5	Incorrect figure number: “ <b>Figure 9</b> ”	Correct figure number: “ <b>Figure 8</b> ”
	Page 29/ Paragraph 8.2.3	Incorrect section reference: “...summarised in <b>section 6</b> ..”	Correct section reference: “...summarised in <b>section 7</b> ..”
	Page 31/ Paragraph 8.3.3	Incorrect section reference: “...which are detailed in <b>section 9</b> and <b>12</b> ...”	Correct section reference: “...which are detailed in <b>section 10</b> and <b>12</b> ...”
	Page 41/ Paragraph 10.1.11	Incorrect section reference: “...addressed in <b>section 12</b> ...”	Correct section reference: “...addressed in <b>section 13</b> ...”
	Page 42/ Paragraph 10.1.16	Incorrect section reference: “... <b>section 10</b> below...”	Correct section reference: “... <b>section 11</b> below...”
<b>7.10 Outline Site Waste Management Plan (APP-130)</b>	Page 1/ Paragraph 1.1.1	Incorrect figure name: “ <b>Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Location Plan</b> ”	Correct figure name: “ <b>Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2)</b> ”

Document Title/Number	Section Reference	Error	Correction
<b>7.11 Outline Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan (APP-131)</b>	Page 1/ Paragraph 1.1.1	Incorrect figure title: <b><i>“Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Location Plan”</i></b>	Correct figure title: <b><i>“Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2)”</i></b>
	Page 6/ Paragraph 2.5.5	Incorrect chapter reference: <i>“Detailed descriptions of these measures are provided in Chapter 4, addressing fire, explosion, and hazardous gas scenarios.”</i>	Correct chapter reference: <i>“Detailed descriptions of these measures are provided in Chapter 5, addressing fire, explosion, and hazardous gas scenarios.”</i>



## DECARBONISATION

10 Dominion Street  
Floor 5  
Moorgate, London  
EC2M 2EF  
Contact Tel: 020 7417 5200  
Email: [enquiries@corygroup.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@corygroup.co.uk)  
[corygroup.co.uk](http://corygroup.co.uk)